Anth 461 Fall 2021 History of Archaeological Theory



Prof. Chris Fennell Wednesdays, 3:00pm to 5:50pm, Room 312, Davenport Hall

Overview: This course examines the prominent theories in archaeology from its inception to the present day and does so within the context of general developments in anthropological thought. The class provides a foundation for graduate students and a capstone for undergraduate majors emphasizing archaeology. Learn more about the course structure and opportunities in the general syllabus <u>guidelines</u>.

We examine the history of theoretical approaches within the context of (1) the specific place and time during which they emerged, (2) general developments in anthropology at large, and (3) influences of broader intellectual and social trends that impacted archaeological theories. We undertake a critical analysis of different approaches, including antiquarian, evolutionary, historical, neo-evolutionary, functional and processual, ecological, Marxism, structuralism, and post-processualism (including agency, gender, practice, and performance). We will also look at examples of archaeological research conducted within the framework of each approach. The ghosts of paradigms past and earlier theories still haunt the discipline. Many theories that current students may assume to be extinct are still living and driving archaeological research.

Requirements: Attendance of all classes is required. All students are expected to:

- 1. Read all the book chapters and articles for each class meeting.
- 2. Actively participate in the discussion of these readings.
- 3. Submit written notes on each of the assigned papers/chapters every week (see below).
- 4. Lead discussion of at least one weekly topic in the course.
- 5. Oral presentation of your term paper subject and lead discussion of two relevant papers.
- 6. Submit this term paper at the end of the semester.

Your weekly notes should include:

- 1. A brief explanation of the approach or theory of the week. Send your weekly notes to the instructor by email by 5pm (CT) on the Tuesday immediately preceding the relevant class session.
- 2. A summary of the main points of each assigned paper, and how each reflects the theoretical framework. These notes are meant to help you prepare for class by focusing your attention on the texts under discussion. Write this as a narrative essay in full sentences. Your critical evaluation and insights (not simply criticism and opinions without explanation) are valuable for these summaries.

- 3. A brief closing synthesis with comparisons and contrasts among the week's readings.
- 4. Notes should not exceed 1 page per article. They are graded as +, $\sqrt{}$, or -.

Term paper. Term paper topic proposals/abstracts (one paragraph, with references) are due by email on October 27. I will provide feedback and guidance on the scope and content of your term paper, and references to relevant literature. Final paper is due by 5pm (CT) on December 17. Term papers should be 10-15 pages (double spaced text) in length for undergraduates or 15-20 pages (double spaced text) in length for graduate students

Class Presentation of term paper (last week). You will be responsible for:

- 1) organizing and presenting an oral discussion of your research project;
- 2) selecting and providing pdf file copies of two key papers on your term paper topic. I will upload these to *Compass* one week before your presentation. Shorter papers are preferred.



Research Topics for Term Papers.

Each student will select a major, enduring archaeological problem that has been investigated from many theoretical perspectives and critically examine insights that the different theoretical (not methodological) approaches have brought to this issue. Topics include the origins of agriculture, the meaning of rock art, the Middle to Upper Paleolithic transition, the Paleoindian to Archaic transition, the rise of state society in a particular area of the world, or the question of collapse of a culture (the Maya, Rapa Nui). The history of interpretations of major sites or archaeological cultural traditions is also an appropriate paper topic. Enduring questions include: what was Cahokia (or Teotihuacan, etc.) and why did it rise and fall? In cases where particular paradigms were employed (e.g. an agency perspective, General Systems Theory) the students are expected to augment their presentation by outlining a fruitful research strategy based on the missing theoretical perspective.



Grading: Your final grade will be based on the following criteria:

| Preparation and class participation: | 30% |
|---|-----|
| Reading notes: | 30% |
| Class presentation of research project: | 10% |
| Term paper: | 30% |

Texts:

Archaeological Theory: An Introduction, by Matthew Johnson, 3d edition, 2020, Wiley Blackwell (J). This text is available at the

University bookstores and can also be obtained from other vendors of your choosing.

An Unauthorized Companion to American Archaeological Theory, by Lars Fogelin, 2019, eBook (F), Preface & Chapter 1 in pdf, remainder available online at

https://www.academia.edu/40368859/An_Unauthorized_Companion_To_American_Archaeologic al_Theory_PDF.



Other required or suggested readings consist of articles or text excerpts that provide additional information related to the subjects we are covering. These articles will be available online in the course web page on *Compass*

(https://compass2g.illinois.edu/webapps/login/)

| Date | Class Schedule | Readings |
|------------------|---|--|
| Aug 25 week01 | Introduction: Course mechanics | Personal perspectives on theory; J Preface and pp. 284-293 (glossary); F Preface |
| Sep 1 week02 | Why study the history of archaeology? The rise of modern sciences: anthropology, archaeology and evolution; What is a theory? What is a paradigm? An example of a paradigm: Greco-Roman culture | Corbey & Roebroeks; J Chapter 1, F Chapter 1; AAA definition of Anthropology Trigger 2008 Chap 1; Dietler 2005, pp. 33-49. |
| Sep 8 week03 | Archaeology from Antiquity to Darwinism Antiquarianism, Darwinism, evolutionary racism | Sollas TOC; Sommer 2005 |
| Sep 15 week04 | Culture-historical archaeology Examples from Eastern North America | McKern 1939; Griffin 1967 Kelley & Brown 2020 |
| Sep 22 week05 | Early functional-processual & Marxist arch: Conjunctive archaeology and Archaeography Cultural Ecology | J Chapter 2; Taylor 1948: ix-xii, 5-44, 95-112, 152-56; Deetz 1988; Steward 1953 (1955) |
| Sep 29 week06 | General Systems Theory Ecology and cultural evolution Mesoamerican forager to farmer systems | J Chapters 4-5; Binford 1962; Clarke 1968 Chapter 2; Flannery 1967, Flannery 1968 |
| Oct 6 week07 | Social Archaeology & Neo-Marxism | J Chapter 13, Chapter 6: 100-105, Chapter 10: 185-191; Gillman 1989, 1996 |
| Oct 13 week08 | Post-processual critique and counter-critiques | J Chapters 6-7; Hodder 1986 ch 8-9; Knapp 1996 Shanks & Tilley 1987 ch. 1; R. Watson 1990 |
| Oct 20 week09 | Behavioral and Evolutionary Archaeologies How many kinds are there? (at least three!) Middle Rangers and Neo-Darwinists | J Chapters 10-11; Lamotta & Schiffer 2001; Spencer 1997; O'Brien 1998; Leonard 2001 |
| Oct 27 week10 | Evolutionary and behavioral archaeologies vs. Historical processualism; Optimal foraging | Bird & O'Connell 2006; Hawkes & O'Connell 1981; Boone & |

Smith 1998; O'Brien & Lyman 2004; Pauketat 2001, 2004.

Term paper abstracts due October 27

| Nov 3 week11 | Evolutionary Cognitive Archaeology | Mithen 1989, 2001; Ambrose 2010; Coolidge & Wynn 2005; Barham 2010; Thomas 1998; Karlin & Julien 1994 |
|------------------|---|---|
| Nov 10 week12 | Agency, Materiality, Practice and Habitus | Dietler & Herbich 1998; Yentsch & Baudry 2001; Dobres & Robb 2000; Barrett 2001 |
| Nov 17 Week13 | Style in Archaeology | Wiessner 1985, 1997; Sackett 1985; Hodder 1995a; Hegmon 1992, 1998; Fogelin (F) 2019:108-111 |
| Nov 24 | Thanksgiving Vacation | |
| Dec 1 week14 | Gender, Sex, Race, Performance | J Chapter 9; Hollimon 1997; Meskell 1999; Spector 1998; Taylor 1990; Crown 2000; Franklin 2001; |
| Dec 8 week15 | Term paper project presentations | Your selected papers; J Chapter 14 |

Term papers due Friday December 17



Bibliography

Audouze, F. 2002. Leroi-Gourhan a philosopher of technique and evolution. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 10: 277-306.

Ambrose, S.H. 2010. Coevolution of composite tool technology, constructive memory and language: Implications for the evolution of modern human behavior. *Current Anthropology* 51 (Supplement 1): S135-S147.

- Anthony, D.W. 1990. Migration in archaeology: The baby and the bathwater. *American Anthropologist* 92: 895-914.
- Bandy, M.S. 2004. Fissioning, scalar stress, and social evolution in early village societies. *American Anthropologist* 106: 322-334.
- Barham, L. 2010. A technological fix for 'Dunbar's dilemma'. In *Social Brain, Distributed Mind*, edited by Robin Dunbar, Clive Gamble and John Gowlett. *Proceedings of the British Academy* 158: 367-389.
- Barrett, John C. 2001. Agency, the duality of structure, and the problem of the archaeological record. In *Archaeological Theory Today*, edited by Ian Hodder. Polity Press (Blackwell), Cambridge, pp. 141-164.
- Bender, B. 1989. The roots of inequality. In *Domination and Resistance*, edited by D. Miller, M. Rowlands, and C. Tilley. Unwin Hyman, London, pp. 83-95.
- Binford, L.R. 1962. Archaeology as anthropology. American Antiquity 28: 217-225.
- Binford, L.R. 1986. In pursuit of the future. In *American Archaeology Past and Future*, edited by D.J. Meltzer, D.D. Fowler, and J.A. Sabloff, pp. 459-479. Smithsonian Institution Press for the Society for American Archaeology, Washington.
- Binford, L.R. 1989. Debating Archaeology. Academic Press, Orlando.
- Binford, L.R. 2001. Constructing Frames of Reference. University of California Press, San Francisco.



- Bird, D.W., O'Connell, J.F. 2006. Behavioral ecology and archaeology. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 18:143-188. DOI 10.1007/s10814-006-9003-6
- Blanton, R. 1995. The cultural foundations of inequality in households. In *Foundations of Social Inequality*, edited by T.D. Price and G.M. Feinman. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 105-128.
- Blanton, R.E., Feinman, G.M., Kowalewski, S.A. and Peregrine, P.N. 1996. Agency, ideology, and power in archaeological theory. *Current Anthropology* 37: 1-14.
- Boone, James L., Smith Eric A. 1998. Is it evolution yet? A critique of evolutionary archaeology. *Current Anthropology* 39: S141-S173.
- Braun, D.P. 1995. Style, selection, and historicity. In *Style, Society, and Person*, edited by C. Carr and J.E. Neitzel. Plenum, New York, pp. 123-150.

- Brumfiel, E.M. 1992. Breaking and entering the ecosystem gender, class, and faction steal the show. *American Anthropologist* 94: 551-567.
- Childe, V.G. 1925. The Dawn of European Civilization. Keegan Paul, London.
- Clark, J.E. 2000. Towards a better explanation of hereditary inequality: a critical assessment of natural and historic human agents. In *Agency in Archaeology*, edited by M.A. Dobres & J. Robb. Routledge, London, pp. 92-112.
- Clarke, David L. 1968. Analytical Archaeology. Methuen, London.
- Clarke, David L. 1973. Archaeology: the loss of innocence. *Antiquity* 47:6-18.
- Cobb, C.R. 1998. Social reproduction of the *long durée* in the prehistory of the midcontinental United States. In David S. Whitley (ed), *Reader in Archaeological Theory*. Routledge, London, pp. 199-210.
- Conkey, M. and Gero, J. 1997. Programme to practice: gender and feminism in archaeology. *Annual Reviews in Anthropology* 26:411-437.
- Coolidge, F., Wynn, T. 2005. Working memory, Executive functions, and the evolution of modern thinking. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 15:5-26.
- Corbey, R., Roebroeks, W. 2001. Does disciplinary history matter? An introduction. In *Studying Human Origins. Disciplinary history and epistemology*. Edited by Raymond Corbey and Wil Roebroeks. Amsterdam University Press, pp. 1-7.
- Coudart A. 1999. Is post-processualism bound to happen everywhere? The French case. *Antiquity* 73: 161-167.
- Crown, P.L. 2000. Women's role in changing cuisine. In Women & Men in the Prehispanic Southwest, edited by P.L. Crown. SAR Press, Santa Fe, pp. 221-266.
- Deetz, J. 1988. History and archaeological theory: Walter Taylor revisited. *American Antiquity* 53(1):13-22.
- Dietler, M. 2005. The Archaeology of colonization and the colonization of archaeology. In: *The Archaeology of Colonial Encounters: Comparative perspectives*. Gil Stein (ed.), SAR Press, Santa Fe, New Mexico, pp. 33–68.
- Dietler, M. and Herbich, I. 1998. *Habitus*, techniques, style: An integrated approach to the social understanding of material culture and boundaries. In *The Archaeology of Social Boundaries*, edited by M.T. Stark. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC, pp. 232-263.
- Dobres, M. & A. Robb. 2000. Agency in archaeology: paradigm or platitude. In *Agency in Archaeology*, edited by M.-A. Dobres and J.E. Robb. Routledge, London, pp. 3-18.
- Fennell, C. 2017. Introduction: Navigating Intersections in African Diaspora Archaeology, in thematic issue entitled "Challenging Theories of Racism, Diaspora, and Agency in African America," edited by William A. White III and Christopher C. Fennell, *Historical Archaeology* 51(1): 1-8.
- Fennell, C. 2017. Broken Chains and Subverted Plans: Ethnicity, Race, and Commodities. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.

- Fennell, C. 2007. Crossroads and Cosmologies: Diasporas and Ethnogenesis in the New World. University of Florida Press, Gainesville.
- Fennell, C. 2003. Group identity, individual creativity and symbolic generation in a BaKongo diaspora. *International Journal of Historical Archaeology* 7(1): 1-31. Republished in Portuguese as Identidade de grupo, criatividade individual e geração simbólica na diáspora Bakongo, Vestígios: *Latin American Journal of Historical Archaeology* 7(2): 177-216 (2013).
- Fennell, C. 2000. Conjuring boundaries: Inferring past identities from religious artifacts. *International Journal of Historical Archaeology* 4(4): 281-313.
- Flannery, K.V. 1967. Culture history v. cultural process: A debate in American archaeology. Scientific American 217(2):119-122. Reprinted in *Contemporary Archaeology*, edited by M.P. Leone. Southern Illinois University Press, Carbondale, 1972, pp. 102-107.
- Flannery, K.V. 1968. Archaeological systems theory and early Mesoamerica. In *Anthropological Archaeology in the Americas*. Edited by Betty J. Meggers. Anthropological Society of Washington, Washington DC, pp. 67-87. Reprinted in *Contemporary Archaeology*, edited by M.P. Leone. Southern Illinois University Press, Carbondale, 1972, pp. 222-234.
- Flannery, K.V. 1972. The cultural evolution of civilizations. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 3: 399-426.
- Flannery, K.V. 1973. The origins of agriculture. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 2: 271-310.
- Flannery, K.V. 1999. Process and agency in early state formation. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 9: 3-21.



- Franklin, M. 2001. A Black feminist-inspired archaeology? Journal of Social Archaeology 1(1): 108–125.
- Gillespie, S. 2000. Personhood, agency, and mortuary ritual: A case study from ancient Maya. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 20: 73-112.
- Gillman, A. 1989. Marxism in American archaeology. In *Archaeological Thought in America*, edited by C.C. Lamberg-Karlovsky. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 50-62.
- Gillman, A. 1996. Explaining the Upper Paleolithic revolution. In *Contemporary Archaeology in Theory*, edited by R.W. Preucel and I. Hodder. Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 220-239.
- Gould, S.J., Lewontin, R.C. 1979. The spandrels of San Marco and the Panglossian paradigm: A critique of the adaptationist programme. *Proceedings of the Royals Society of London, Series B* 205: 581-598.



London, pp. 164-174.

Griffin, James B., 1967. Eastern North American archaeology: a summary. *Science* 156(3772): 175-191.

Hawkes, K. and O'Connell, J. 1981. Affluent hunters? Some comments in light of the Alyawara case. *American Anthropologist* 83: 622-626.

Hegmon, M. 1992. Archaeological research on style. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 21: 517-536.

Hodder, I. 1984. Burials, houses, women and men in European Neolithic. In *Ideology, Power and Prehistory*, edited by D. Miller and C. Tilley. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 51-68.

Hodder, I. 1986. Reading the Past. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Hodder, I. 1995a. Material culture in time. In *Interpreting Archaeology*, edited by I. Hodder, M. Shanks, A. Alexandri, V. Buchli, J. Carman, J. Last and G. Lucas. Routledge,

Hodder, I. 1995b. History (chapters by Last, Gurevich & Schnapp). In *Interpreting Archaeology*. edited by I. Hodder, M. Shanks, A. Alexandri, V. Buchli, J. Carman, J. Last, and G. Lucas). Routledge, London, pp. 141-163.

Hodder, I. 2001. Introduction: A review of contemporary theoretical debates in archaeology. In *Archaeological Theory Today*. Edited by Ian Hodder. Polity Press (Blackwell), Cambridge, pp. 1-13.

Hodder, I. and Cessford, C. 2004. Daily practice and social memory at Catalhoyuk. *American Antiquity* 69: 17-40.

Hollimon, S.E. 1997. The third gender in native California: two-spirit undertakers among the Chumash and their neighbors". In *Women in Prehistory*. Edited by Cheryl Claassen and Rosemary A. Joyce. University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia, pp. 173-188.

Iannone, G. 2002. Annales, history and the ancient Maya state: some observations on the "Dynamic Model". *American Anthropologist* 104: 68-78.

Johnson, Gary A. 1982. Organizational structure and scalar stress. In *Theory and Explanation in Archaeology*, edited by C. Renfrew, M.J. Rowlands, and B.A. Seagraves. Academic Press, New York, pp. 389-421.

Karlin, C. and M. Julien 1994. Prehistoric technology: a cognitive science? In *The Ancient Mind*, edited by C. Renfrew and E.B. Zubrow. Cambridge University Press, pp. 152-164

Knapp, Bernard. 1996. Archaeology without gravity: postmodernism and the past. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 3:127-158.

Kelly, John E., Brown, James A. 2020. In the beginning: contextualizing Cahokia's emergence. In: *Cahokia in Context: Hegemony and diaspora*, edited by Charles H. McNutt and Ryan M Parish. University of Florida Press, Gainesville, pp. 11-31.

Lamotta, Vincent M. and Schiffer, Michael B. 2001. Behavioral archaeology: toward a new synthesis. In *Archaeological Theory Today*. Edited by Ian Hodder. Polity Press (Blackwell), Cambridge, pp. 14-64.

Leonard, Robert D. 2001. Evolutionary archaeology. In *Archaeological Theory Today*, edited by Ian Hodder. Polity Press (Blackwell), Cambridge, pp. 65-97.

Madsen, M., Lipo, C. and Cannon, M. 1999. Fitness and reproductive trade-offs in uncertain environments: explaining the evolution of cultural elaboration. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 18: 251-281.

Mackenzie, I. and Shanks, M. 1994. Archaeology: theories, themes and experience. In *Archaeological Theory: Progress of Posture*, edited by J. M. Mackenzie. Avebury, Aldershot, pp. 19-42.

McGuire, R. 1993. Archaeology and Marxism. Archaeological Method and Theory 5: 101-157.

McKern, W.C. 1939. The Midwestern taxonomic method as an aid to archaeological culture study. *American Antiquity* 4: 301-313.

Meskell, L. 1999. Archaeologies of Social Life. Chapter 2. Blackwell, London.

Mithen, S. 1989. Evolutionary theory and post-processual archaeology. *Antiquity* 63: 483-494.

Mithen, S. 2001. Archaeological theory and theories of cognitive evolution. In *Archaeological Theory Today*, edited by Ian Hodder. Polity Press (Blackwell), Cambridge, pp. 98-121.



White Ibis by April Gaana Jaad White

Neff, H. 1989. The effect of interregional distribution on Plumbate pottery production. In B. Voorhies (ed.) *Ancient Trade and Tribute Economies of the Soconusco Region of Mesoamerica*. University of Utah Press, Provo, pp. 249-267.

O'Brien, Michael J., Lyman, R. Lee. 2004. History and explanation in archaeology. *Anthropological Theory* 4: 173-197.

- O'Brien, Michael J., Lyman, R. Lee and Leonard, Robert D. 1998. Basic incompatibilities between evolutionary and behavioral archaeology. *American Antiquity* 63: 485-498.
- Olivier, L. and Coudart, A. 1995. French tradition and the central place of history in the human sciences: preamble to a dialogue between Robinson Crusoe and his Man Friday. In *Theory in Archaeology*. edited by P.J. Ucko. Routledge, London, pp. 363-381.
- Pauketat, T. 2001. Practice and history in archaeology. *Anthropological Theory* 1: 73-98.
- Pauketat, T. 2004. Archaeology without alternatives. Anthropological Theory 4: 199-203.
- Peebles, C. 1998. "Annalistes", hermeneutics, and positivists: squaring circles of dissolving problems". In Reader in Archaeological Theory, edited by D.S. Whitley. Routledge, London, pp. 183-198.
- Renfrew, C. 1996. Peer polity interaction and socio-political change. In *Contemporary Archaeology in Theory*, edited by R.W. Preucel and I. Hodder. Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 114-142.
- Renfrew, C. 2008. Neuroscience, evolution and the sapient paradox: the factuality of value and of the sacred. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society series B* 363: 2041-2047.
- Shanks, M. and Tilley, C. 1987. *Social Theory and Archaeology*. The University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque.
- Shennan, S.J. and Wilkinson, J.R. 2001. Ceramic style change and neutral evolution: A case study from Neolithic Europe. *American Antiquity* 66: 577-595.
- Sommer, Marianne. 2005. Ancient Hunters and their Modern Representatives: William Sollas's (1849-1936) anthropology from disappointed bridge to trunkless tree and the instrumentalization of racial conflict. Journal of the History of Biology 38: 327-365.
- Sollas, W.J. 1924 (3rd edition). *Ancient Hunters and their Modern Representatives.* Table of Contents. Macmillan, London.
- Spector, J. 1998. What this awl means: Feminist Archaeology at a Wahpeton Dakota Village. In Reader in Gender Archaeology, edited by K. Hays-Gilpin and D.S. Whitley. Routledge, London and New York, pp. 359-364.
- Spencer, C.A. 1997. Evolutionary approaches in archaeology. *Journal of Archaeological Research* 5: 209-264.
- Steward, J.H. 1955 (1972). Multilinear evolution: evolution and process. (originally "Evolution and process, in *Anthropology Today*, edited by A. L. Kroeber, 1953). In J.H. Steward (ed), *Theory of Culture Change*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana, pp. 11-39.
- Steward, J.H. 1951. Levels of sociocultural integration: an operational concept *Southwest Journal of Anthropology* 7:374-390. Reprinted in J.H. Steward (ed), *Theory of Culture Chang*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana, 1955 (1972), pp. 43-63.
- Taylor, S. 1990. Brothers' in arms? Feminism, post-structuralism, and the Rise of Civilization. In *Writing the Past in the Present*, edited by F. Baker and J. Thomas. St. David's University College, Lampeter, pp. 32-4.

- Taylor, W.W. 1948. A Study of Archaeology. American Anthropological Association, Memoir 69. Menasha, Wisconsin.
- Thomas, J. 1998. Some problems with the notion of external symbolic storage, and the case of Neolithic material culture in Britain. In *Cognition and Material Culture: the Archaeology of Symbolic Storage*, edited by C. Renfrew & C. Scarre. MacDonald Institute Monographs. MacDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, Cambridge, pp. 149-156.
- Tilley, C. 1984. Ideology and the legitimation of power in the Middle Neolithic of southern Sweden. In *Ideology, Power and Prehistory*, edited by D. Miller and C. Tilley. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 111-146.
- Tilley, C. 1998. Archaeology as socio-political action in the present. In *Reader in Archaeological Theory*, edited by D.S. Whitley. Routledge, London, pp. 315-330.
- Trigger B.G. 1988. Archaeology and epistemology: dialoguing across the Darwinian chasm. *American Journal of Archaeology* 102: 1-34.
- Trigger B.G. 1991. Constraint and freedom: A new synthesis for archaeological explanation. *American Anthropologist* 93: 551-569.
- Trigger, B. G. 2008. A History of Archaeological Thought, 2d ed. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Watson, R. 1990. Ozymandias, King of Kings: Postprocessual Radical Archaeology as Critique. *American Antiquity* 55(4): 673-689.
- Watson, P.J. and Fotiadis, M. 1990. The razor's edge: Symbolic-structuralist archaeology and the expansion of archaeological inference. *American Anthropologist* 92: 613-629.
- Wiessner, P. 1983. Style and social information in Kalahari San projectile points. *American Antiquity* 48: 253-276.
- Wiessner, P. 1985. Style or isochrestic variation? A reply to Sackett. American Antiquity 50: 160-166.



Wiessner, P. 1997. Seeking guidelines through an evolutionary approach: Style revisited among the !Kung San (Ju/'hoansi) of the 1990s. *Archaeological Papers of the American Anthropological Association* 7(1): 157-176.

- White Deer, G. 1998. Return of the sacred: Spirituality and the scientific imperative. In *Reader in Archaeological Theory*, edited by D. S. Whitley. Routledge, London, pp. 331-337.
- Willey, G.R. 1989. Settlement pattern studies and evidences for intensive agriculture in the Maya Lowlands. In *Archaeological Thought in America*, edited by C.C. Lamberg-Karlovsky. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 167-182.
- Willey, G.R. and Phillips, R. 1958. *Method and Theory in American Archaeology*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Wobst, M.H. 2000. Agency in (spite of) material culture. In *Agency in Archaeology*, edited by M.-A. Dobres and J.E. Robb. Routledge, London, pp. 40-50.
- Wright, H.T. 1986. The evolution of civilizations. In *American Archaeology Past and Future*, edited by D. J. Meltzer, D.D. Fowler, and J.A. Sabloff. Smithsonian Institution Press for the Society for American Archaeology, Washington, pp. 323-365.
- Yentsch, Anne and Beaudry, Mary C. 2001. American material culture in mind, thought and deed. In *Archaeological Theory Today*, edited by Ian Hodder. Polity Press (Blackwell), Cambridge, pp. 214-240.

[Last updated: August 26, 2021]